

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	F	IRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/046,819		01/17/2002		Takuya Kobayashi			2002_0037A	5356		
	513	513 7590 11/22/2006					EXAMINER			
	WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.						CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA			
	2033 K STRI	2033 K STREET N. W.							_	
	SUITE 800						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	WASHINGT	ON DC	20006-1021			_	2126		_	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)								
	10/046,819	KOBAYASHI ET AL.								
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit								
	David G. Cervetti	2136	•							
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			Iress							
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 September 2006</u> . 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
4)  Claim(s) 46-51 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 46-51 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10)  The specification is objected to by the Examine Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	er.  er.  er.  drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	.152)							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 14, 2006, have been fully considered.

2. Claims 46-51 are pending and have been examined. Claims 1-45 and 52-58 have been cancelled.

### Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 46-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 46-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eastlake et al. (NPL "XML-Signature Syntax and processing", hereinafter Eastlake).

Regarding claim 46, Eastlake teaches a data processor for receiving and processing data to which information for tampering detection is added, said data processor comprising:

a receiver operable to receive data which includes an authentication
information region for including the tampering detection information, a
protected data region for including data to be subjected to tampering
detection, and an unprotected data region for including data that is not to

be subjected to tampering detection, wherein the protected data region includes an unprotection list which lists tag names indicating types of the data included in the unprotected data region (sections 1 and 2); a protected data authentication unit operable to detect, for the data,

a protected data authentication unit operable to detect, for the data, which is included in the protected data region and received by said receiver, whether the data included in the protected data region has been tampered with by using the tampering detection information included in the authentication information region (sections 2 and 3); and an unprotected data authentication unit operable to determine the data included in the unprotected data region as being valid when a tag name indicating a type of the data, which is included in the unprotected data region and received by said receiver, coincides with a tag name in the unprotection list which has been confirmed as not having been tampered

Regarding claim 48, Eastlake teaches a data processor structured by a transmitting data processor and a receiving data processor, said transmitting data processor being operable to transfer, to said receiving data processor, data to which information for tampering detection is added,

- wherein said transmitting data processor comprises:
- an unprotection list generation unit operable to generate an unprotection list which lists, by type, data that is not to be subjected to tampering detection (sections 1 and 2);

with by said protected data authentication unit (sections 4 and 5).

Art Unit: 2136

a data generation unit operable to generate data to be transmitted by arranging data to be subjected to tampering detection together with the unprotection list in a protected data region, the data that is not to be subjected to tampering detection in an unprotected data region, and the tampering detection information derived based on the data in the protected data region in an authentication information region (sections 2 and 3); and

Page 4

- a transmitter operable to transmit the data generated by said data generation unit; and
- wherein said receiving data processor comprises:
- a receiver operable to receive the data transmitted from said transmitting data processor;
- a protected data authentication unit operable to detect, for the data, which is included in the protected data region and received by said receiver, whether the data in the protected data region has been tampered by using the tampering detection information in the authentication information region (sections 2 and 3); and
- an unprotected data authentication unit operable to determine the data included in the unprotected data region as being valid when a tag name indicating a type of the data, which is included in the unprotected data region and received by said receiver, coincides with a tag name in the

Art Unit: 2136

unprotection list which has been confirmed as not having been tampered with by said protected data authentication unit (sections 4 and 5).

Regarding claims 47 and 49, Eastlake teaches the data received by said receiver / generated by said data generation unit is hypertext data; and the unprotection list lists, by type, a tag included in the unprotected data region (sections 2-5).

Regarding claim 50, Eastlake teaches a data processing method for receiving and processing data to which information for tampering detection is added, said method comprising:

- receiving data which includes an authentication information region for including the tampering detection information, a protected data region for including data to be subjected to tampering detection, and an unprotected data region for including data that is not to be subjected to tampering detection, the protected data region including an unprotection list which lists tag names indicating types of the data included in the unprotected data region (sections 1 and 2);
  - detecting, for the data, which is included in the protected data region and received in said receiving of the data, whether the data included in the protected data region has been tampered with by using the tampering detection information included in the authentication information region (sections 2 and 3); and
- determining the data included in the unprotected data region as being valid when a tag name indicating a type of the data, which is included in

Art Unit: 2136

the unprotected data region and received in said receiving of the data, coincides with a tag name in the unprotection list which has been confirmed as not having been tampered with in said detecting whether the data included in the protected data region has been tampered with (sections 4 and 5).

Page 6

Regarding claim 51, Eastlake teaches a data processing method for transferring data, to which information for tampering detection is added, from a transmitting data processor to a receiving data processor, wherein:

in the transmitting data processor, said method comprises

- o generating an unprotection list which lists, by type, data that is not to be subjected to tampering detection,
- generating data to be transmitted by arranging data to be subjected to tampering detection together with the unprotection list in a protected data region,
- the data that is not to be subjected to tampering detection in an unprotected data region, and the tampering detection information derived based on the data in the protected data region in an authentication information region, and
- transmitting the data generated in said generating of the data to be transmitted (sections 1-3); and
- in the receiving data processor, said method comprises
- receiving the data transmitted from the transmitting data processor,

Art Unit: 2136

o detecting, for the data, which is included in the protected data region and received in said receiving of the data, whether the data in the protected data region has been tampered with by using the tampering detection information in the authentication information region, and

Page 7

o determining the data included in the unprotected data region as being valid when a tag name indicating a type of the data, which is included in the unprotected data region and received in said receiving of the data, coincides with a tag name in the unprotection list which has been confirmed as not having been tampered with in said detecting whether the data in the protected data region has been tampered with (sections 3-5).

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2136

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571) 272-

5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 am - 5:00 pm, off

on Wednesday.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on (571) 272-4195. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

11120106

Page 8

**DGC**